

## Chapter 2

# Modern hydronic systems

### 2.1 Heating and cooling systems

Traditional hydronics	Modern hydronics
From the past, still used today.	Used sometimes, recommended for the future.
<b>Comparison of hydronic systems with heating/cooling ceilings</b>	
<p><b>Description of the system</b> 3 or 4 line system with changeover function. This system requires 2 on-off valves in the flow (or 1 x 3-way ball valve) and two control valves in the return flow, i.e. 4 (3) fittings all together. The changeover can also be achieved with a 6-way ball valve. The hydronic balancing needs one manual balancing valve per flow pipe. As a result, hydronic balancing is very complex and only applies to this specific application.</p>	<p><b>Description of the system</b> The same system works with two pressure-independent control valves (e.g. Danfoss AB-QM or Siemens VPI) in the flow pipe and two on-off valves or one 3-way ball valve in the return pipe. It is also possible to use a 6-way ball valve. However, with this variant the ball valve should only be used for the changeover. The control should be taken over by the pressure-independent valve. Hydronic balancing is ensured by setting the water flow rate when installing the pressure-independent control valves.</p>
Of the past, still used today.	Used sometimes, recommended for the future.
<b>Comparison of hydronic systems with air heating – air cooling circuits</b>	
<p><b>Description of the system</b> With the standard solution the temperature of the incoming air is set by a conventional 3-way valve (or straight-way valve). Hydronic balancing is achieved by a manual balancing valve in the primary circuit and a valve in the secondary circuit. As a result, hydronic balancing is very complex and only applies to this specific application.</p>	<p><b>Description of the system</b> Injection-type system with pressure-independent valve (e.g. Danfoss AB-QM or Siemens VPI). This solution does not require a standard control valve and a manual balancing valve in the primary circuit. If the volume flow in the secondary circuit remains constant, it is sufficient to use manual balancing valves (e.g. Danfoss MSV series).</p>

Comparison of traditional and modern hydronics	Traditional	Modern
Partial-load operation allows correct mass flows.		✓
Partial-load operation allows correct temperature differences in flow and return.		✓
Partial load operation allows low return flow temperatures.		✓
Valve authority close to 1.		✓
Correct determination of the $K_{vs}$ value for the control fittings required.	✓	
Time consuming planning and adaptation of load characteristic and control valve characteristic to flow characteristic.	✓	
Minimum loss of operating pressure of the pressure-independent control valve must be observed.		✓
In case of correct selection (valve setting) the pressure loss of the system is identical.	✓	✓
Calculation of pressure loss required for entire system incl. calculation of settings for hydronic balancing.	✓	
Calculation and settings for hydronic balancing not carried out.	✓	
Pressure loss of control circuit must be calculated for pump design. No hydronic balancing required for pressure loss calculation.		✓
Hydronic balancing through setting of water flow rate at fittings.		✓
Overflow and underflow of hydronic volume flow rate must not be balanced.		✓
Required heating and cooling is provided by system. No overheating or underheating and no overcooling or undercooling of room.		✓
The energy-saving potential of the speed-controlled pump is used by the correct volume flows.		✓
In terms of energy, heating and cooling generators as well as boilers are operated efficiently.		✓
Cost-effective planning of system.		✓
Higher fittings investment.	(✓)	✓
Lower total investment.		✓
(✓): depending on system.		

Good hydronics save between 5 % and 15 % of energy demand.

See pages 68, 86, 94, 126, 184, 200, 202, 212, 222, 320